




BID EVALUATION SHEET (REPAIR OF BIO-MEDICAL EQUIPMENT & ALLIED HOSPITAL MACHINERY) FIRM'S EVALUATION

| SR # | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: G.Med Pvt Ltd LHR | M/S: Jiasani Scientifics KHI | M/S: Al-Kareem Medical Technologies LHR | M/S: Bio-Expert LHR | M/S: Clinical Life Lnc |
|------|---|---|------------------------|------------------------------|---|---------------------|------------------------|
| 1. | <u>Financial Position / Status</u> i. Income Tax registration certificate ii. Sales tax registration certificate iii. Bank Statement worth (min 0.5 million) | <u>15</u> (04) (04) (07) | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| 2. | <u>Firm's Experience In The Relevant Field</u> i. 1-3 years experience ii. 4-8 years experience iii. 9-15 years & above experience | <u>15</u> (09) (12) (15) | 15 | 15 | 12 | 12 | 15 |
| 3. | <u>Overall past performance of the firm/company</u> a) very good b) good c) satisfactory d) No past experience with this institution but the firm has experience with other Govt. institutions. e) unsatisfactory / poor | <u>10</u> (10) (08) (05) (00) (00) | 10 | 10 | 08 | 10 | 10 |
| 4. | <u>Technical Staff</u> I. 02 or more employees / owners holding bachelor degree or above. II. Well maintained Bio Medical Workshop Qualifying Marks= 30 | <u>10</u> (02) (08) | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| | Total Points = 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 45 | 47 | 50 |


Dr. Ismail Asif
 Medical Officer Peads Surgery
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)


Dr. Imran Qadir
 Assistant professor Peads Urology
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)


Dr. Hamza Sohail
 Assistant professor Peads Surgery
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)


Dr. Sulman Javed
 Associate Professor Paediatric Neonatology
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Convenor)

STAGE:02

BID EVALUATION SHEET (REPAIR OF BIO-MEDICAL EQUIPMENT & ALLIED HOSPITAL MACHINERY)
PRODUCT EVALUATION

| Sr.No. | Name of Equipment | Qty | Manufacturer | Model | Serial No: |
|--------|-------------------|-----|----------------------|--------------|--------------------------|
| 1. | Infant Warmer | 1 | MHC | ICS-950 PLUS | LOCAL |
| | | 3 | ADVANCED INSTRUMENTS | A4051 | 005833;005817;1170708001 |

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S:Bio-Expert Equipment: Infant Warmer (All) |
|---------|--|--------------------------|--|
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications / requirement. (30) ii) good & product complies to required specifications / requirement. (25) iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications / requirement. (18) iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications / requirement. (16) v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification / requirement. (00) (Technically rejected) | 30 | 25 |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years experience (14) ii) 4-8 years experience (16) iii) 9-15 years (& above) experience (20) | 20 | 14 |
| | Qualifying Marks = 30 | Total points = 50 | 39 |

NOTE:

- i. The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
- ii. If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

(Handwritten initials and signature)

STAGE:02

BID EVALUATION SHEET (REPAIR OF BIO-MEDICAL EQUIPMENT & ALLIED HOSPITAL MACHINERY)
PRODUCT EVALUATION

| Sr.No. | Name of Equipment | Qty | Manufacturer | Model | Serial No: |
|--------|-------------------|-----|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| 02. | LED Monitor | 1 | FSN | FS-L 270 1D | D27016260025 |

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | |
|------------------------------|--|--------------------------|-------------------|
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications / requirement. (30) ii) good & product complies to required specifications / requirement. (25) iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications / requirement. (18) iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications / requirement. (16) v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification / requirement. (00) (Technically rejected) | 30 | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years experience (14) ii) 4-8 years experience (16) iii) 9-15 years (& above) experience (20) | 20 | |
| Qualifying Marks = 30 | | Total points = 50 | NOT QUOTED |

NOTE:

- i. The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
- ii. If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

for P.D. - 21/11/14

[Signature]

[Signature]




STAGE:02BID EVALUATION SHEET (REPAIR OF BIO-MEDICAL EQUIPMENT & ALLIED HOSPITAL MACHINERY)PRODUCT EVALUATION

| Sr.No. | Name of Equipment | Qty | Manufacturer | Model | Serial No: |
|--------|-------------------|-----|---------------|---------|---------------------|
| | | 03. | infusion pump | 1 | ANDROMEDA |
| | | 2 | ANDROMEDA | IP5000L | D17050311;D17050320 |

| Sr. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Al-Kareem Medical Technologies Equipment: Infusion Pump (All) |
|---------|---|---|---|
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications / requirement. ii) good & product complies to required specifications / requirement. iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications / requirement. iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications / requirement. v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification / requirement. (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 25 |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years experience ii) 4-8 years experience iii) 9-15 years (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 |
| | Qualifying Marks = 30 | Total points = 50 | 39 |

NOTE:

- i. The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
- ii. If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

STAGE:02**BID EVALUATION SHEET (REPAIR OF BIO-MEDICAL EQUIPMENT & ALLIED HOSPITAL MACHINERY)
PRODUCT EVALUATION**

| Sr.No. | Name of Equipment | Qty | Manufacturer | Model | Serial No: |
|--------|-------------------|-----|----------------------|--------|-------------|
| | | | | | |
| | | 1 | ADVANCED INSTRUMENTS | OT-500 | 15-T532-022 |

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S:Bio-Expert Equipment: OT Table (All) |
|---------|---|---|---|
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications / requirement. ii) good & product complies to required specifications / requirement. iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications / requirement. iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications / requirement. v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification / requirement. (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 25 |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years experience ii) 4-8 years experience iii) 9-15 years (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 16 |
| | Qualifying Marks = 30 | Total points = 50 | 41 |

NOTE:

- i. The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
- ii. If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.







STAGE:02


BID EVALUATION SHEET (REPAIR OF BIO-MEDICAL EQUIPMENT & ALLIED HOSPITAL MACHINERY)**PRODUCT EVALUATION**

| Sr.No. | Name of Equipment | Qty | Manufacturer | Model | Serial No: |
|--------|-------------------|-----|--------------|------------------|----------------|
| 07. | OT LIGHTS | 1 | FAMED | LAMPA OPERACYJNA | 20170126 |
| | | 1 | FAMED | ACROBAT 77N | 1517H000892258 |

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S:Bio-Expert Equipment: OT LIGHTS (All) | M/S:G.Med Pvt Ltd Equipment: OT LIGHTS (All) Make: FAMED LODZ SA Country of Origin: Poland |
|---------|--|---|--|---|
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications / requirement. ii) good & product complies to required specifications / requirement. iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications / requirement. iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications / requirement. v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification / requirement. (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 25 | 30 |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years experience ii) 4-8 years experience iii) 9-15 years (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 16 |
| | Qualifying Marks = 30 | Total points = 50 | 39 | 46 |

NOTE:

- i. The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
- ii. If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system



 P. S. V. S. I.

STAGE:02

BID EVALUATION SHEET (REPAIR OF BIO-MEDICAL EQUIPMENT & ALLIED HOSPITAL MACHINERY)
PRODUCT EVALUATION

| | | | | | |
|--------|-------------------|-----|--------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Sr.No. | Name of Equipment | Qty | Manufacturer | Model | Serial No: |
| 08. | Defibrillator | 2 | INNOMED | CARDIO-AID-360B | 17206313;17206304 |

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S:Bio-Expert Equipment: Defibrillator |
|------------------------------|--|---|---|
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications / requirement. ii) good & product complies to required specifications / requirement. iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications / requirement. iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications / requirement. v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification / requirement. (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 25 |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years experience ii) 4-8 years experience iii) 9-15 years (& above) experience (Technically rejected) | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 |
| Qualifying Marks = 30 | | Total points = 50 | 39 |

NOTE:

- i. The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
- ii. If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

STAGE:02






BID EVALUATION SHEET (REPAIR OF BIO-MEDICAL EQUIPMENT & ALLIED HOSPITAL MACHINERY)
PRODUCT EVALUATION

| Sr.No. | Name of Equipment | Qty | Manufacturer | Model | Serial No: |
|--------|----------------------|-----|--------------|------------------|---|
| 10. | Ultrasonic Nebulizer | 4 | SYSTEM | DP100+ | HU012389;HU012385;HU012388;H U012384 |
| | | 1 | HIRTZ 810 | HICO-ULTRASONATE | 15.5565 |

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | |
|---------|--|--------------------------|-------------------|
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications / requirement. (30) ii) good & product complies to required specifications / requirement. (25) iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications / requirement. (18) iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications / requirement. (16) v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification / requirement. (00) (Technically rejected) | 30 | NOT QUOTED |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years experience (14) ii) 4-8 years experience (16) iii) 9-15 years (& above) experience (20) | 20 | |
| | Qualifying Marks = 30 | Total points = 50 | |

NOTE:

- i. The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
- ii. If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Per     

iii. **STAGE:02**
BID EVALUATION SHEET (REPAIR OF BIO-MEDICAL EQUIPMENT & ALLIED HOSPITAL MACHINERY)
PRODUCT EVALUATION

| Sr.No. | Name of Equipment | Qty | Manufacturer | Model | Serial No: |
|--------|-------------------|-----|---------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| 11. | Suction Machine | 5 | DISPO MEDICAL | ASPIRATOR-D-50 | 501250;501229;501226501218;501239 |

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S:Bio-Expert Equipment: Suction Machine |
|---------|--|--------------------------|--|
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications / requirement. (30) ii) good & product complies to required specifications / requirement. (25) iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications / requirement. (18) iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications / requirement. (16) v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification / requirement. (00) (Technically rejected) | 30 | 25 |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years experience (14) ii) 4-8 years experience (16) iii) 9-15 years (& above) experience (20) | 20 | 16 |
| | Qualifying Marks = 30 | Total points = 50 | 41 |

NOTE:

- i. The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
- ii. If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

PS

MTA

Signature

Signature

Signature

STAGE:02

BID EVALUATION SHEET (REPAIR OF BIO-MEDICAL EQUIPMENT & ALLIED HOSPITAL MACHINERY)

PRODUCT EVALUATION

| Sr.No. | Name of Equipment | Qty | Manufacturer | Model | Serial No: |
|--------|-------------------|-----|----------------|---------------|---------------|
| 12. | ECG Machine | 1 | PULSE MED | N-3 | PE292012992 |
| | | 1 | MEDICAL ECONET | CARDIO-M-PLUS | 8809276941435 |
| | | 1 | KENZ | CARDIO 306 | 19013688 |

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | |
|---------|--|--------------------------|-------------------|
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications / requirement. (30) ii) good & product complies to required specifications / requirement. (25) iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications / requirement. (18) iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications / requirement. (16) v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification / requirement. (00) (Technically rejected) | 30 | NOT QUOTED |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years experience (14) ii) 4-8 years experience (16) iii) 9-15 years (& above) experience (20) Qualifying Marks = 30 | 20 | |
| | | Total points = 50 | |

NOTE:

- i. The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
- ii. If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Handwritten initials/signature

Handwritten initials/signature

Handwritten signature

Handwritten initials/signature

Handwritten initials/signature

STAGE:02

BID EVALUATION SHEET (REPAIR OF BIO-MEDICAL EQUIPMENT & ALLIED HOSPITAL MACHINERY)

PRODUCT EVALUATION

| Sr.No. | Name of Equipment | Qty | Manufacturer | Model | Serial No: |
|--------|---------------------------------------|-----|--------------|----------|--------------|
| 14. | Centrifuge Machine Herolab (Hicen FR) | 1 | HEROLAB | HICEN FR | 172212110650 |

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | |
|------------------------------|--|--------------------------|-------------------|
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications / requirement. (30) ii) good & product complies to required specifications / requirement. (25) iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications / requirement. (18) iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications / requirement. (16) v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification / requirement. (00) (Technically rejected) | 30 | NOT QUOTED |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years experience (14) ii) 4-8 years experience (16) iii) 9-15 years (& above) experience (20) | 20 | |
| Qualifying Marks = 30 | | Total points = 50 | |

NOTE:

- i. The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
- ii. If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Handwritten initials/signature

Handwritten initials/signature

Handwritten signature

Handwritten initials/signature

Handwritten initials/signature

STAGE:02

**BID EVALUATION SHEET (REPAIR OF BIO-MEDICAL EQUIPMENT & ALLIED HOSPITAL MACHINERY)
PRODUCT EVALUATION**

| Sr.No. | Name of Equipment | Qty | Manufacturer | Model | Serial No: |
|--------|-------------------|-----|--------------|----------|-------------------------|
| 15. | Pulse Oximeter | 04 | MEDLAB | VITROMAP | 02527;02518;02521;02516 |

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS |
|------------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications / requirement. (30) ii) good & product complies to required specifications / requirement. (25) iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications / requirement. (18) iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications / requirement. (16) v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification / requirement. (00) (Technically rejected) | 30 |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years experience (14) ii) 4-8 years experience (16) iii) 9-15 years (& above) experience (20) | 20 |
| Qualifying Marks = 30 | | Total points = 50 |

NOT QUOTED

NOTE:

- i. The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
- ii. If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system

SR
P

W-A

mm

W

W

STAGE:02**BID EVALUATION SHEET (REPAIR OF BIO-MEDICAL EQUIPMENT & ALLIED HOSPITAL MACHINERY)****PRODUCT EVALUATION**

| Sr.No. | Name of Equipment | Qty | Manufacturer | Model | Serial No: |
|--------|-------------------|-----|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| | | | 16. | Cardiac Monitor | 1 |
| | | 1 | ADVANCED INSTRUMENTS | PM.2000-XL PRO | 33273-M17911720017-02 |

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Al-Kareem Medical Technologies Equipment: Cardiac Monitor Make: Operon Model: OM-12 Serial No: AU1703300039 |
|------------------------------|--|---|--|
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications / requirement. ii) good & product complies to required specifications / requirement. iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications / requirement. iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications / requirement. v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification / requirement. (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 25 |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years experience ii) 4-8 years experience iii) 9-15 years (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 16 |
| Qualifying Marks = 30 | | Total points = 50 | 41 |

NOTE:

- i. The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
- ii. If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Per








STAGE:02**BID EVALUATION SHEET (REPAIR OF BIO-MEDICAL EQUIPMENT & ALLIED HOSPITAL MACHINERY)****PRODUCT EVALUATION**

| Sr.No. | Name of Equipment | Qty | Manufacturer | Model | Serial No: |
|--------|--------------------------|-----|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| 17. | Automatic film Processor | 1 | AGFA PAKISTAN | E.O.S CLASSIC | 7126(52701100) |


| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Bio-Expert Equipment: Automatic film Processor |
|------------------------------|--|---|--|
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications / requirement. ii) good & product complies to required specifications / requirement. iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications / requirement. iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications / requirement. v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification / requirement. (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 25 |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years experience ii) 4-8 years experience iii) 9-15 years (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 |
| Qualifying Marks = 30 | | Total points = 50 | 39 |

NOTE:

- The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
- If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for ~~financial~~ Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

REN
P.S.

21/11


STAGE:02

BID EVALUATION SHEET (REPAIR OF BIO-MEDICAL EQUIPMENT & ALLIED HOSPITAL MACHINERY)

PRODUCT EVALUATION

| Sr.No. | Name of Equipment | Qty | Manufacturer | Model | Serial No: |
|--------|-------------------|-----|--------------|-------|------------|
| 18. | Autorefractometer | 1 | NIDEK JAPAN | ARK-1 | |

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Jasani Scientifics Equipment: Autorefractometer Make: NIDEK JAPAN Model: ARK-1 Description: System Requires Alignment and Calibration. |
|------------------------------|--|---|---|
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications / requirement. ii) good & product complies to required specifications / requirement. iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications / requirement. iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications / requirement. v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification / requirement. (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 25 |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years experience ii) 4-8 years experience iii) 9-15 years (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 16 |
| Qualifying Marks = 30 | | Total points = 50 | 41 |

NOTE:

- i. The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
- ii. If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Handwritten initials/signature

Handwritten initials/signature

Handwritten signature

Handwritten initials/signature

Handwritten initials/signature

STAGE:02


BID EVALUATION SHEET (REPAIR OF BIO-MEDICAL EQUIPMENT & ALLIED HOSPITAL MACHINERY)
PRODUCT EVALUATION

| Sr.No. | Name of Equipment | Qty | Manufacturer | Model | Serial No: |
|--------|-------------------|-----|--------------|--------|-----------------|
| 22. | Ventilator | 1 | STEPHAN | SOPHIE | S03817404010130 |

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S:Bio-Expert Equipment: Ventilator | M/S:Clinical Life Lnc. Equipment: Ventilator (Repair of faulty Motherboard) |
|---------|--|-----------|---|---|
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications / requirement. (30) ii) good & product complies to required specifications / requirement. (25) iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications / requirement. (18) iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications / requirement. (16) v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification / requirement. (00) (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> | 25 | 25 |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years experience (14) ii) 4-8 years experience (16) iii) 9-15 years (& above) experience (20) Qualifying Marks = 30 | <u>20</u> | 00 | 16 |
| | Total points = 50 | 25 | | 41 |

NOTE:

- i. The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
- ii. If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.
- iii.



 [Handwritten signature and initials]

